

Technical development in modern construction industry

Pradeep Saravanan S*

Department of Civil Engineering, Bharath University, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Pradeep_s@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The technical development in modern construction industry refers to the uses of computer and information technology to control over the features like doors and interiors. The systems have developed through remote systems with various level of intelligence. Construction appliances are adopted for the reasons of easy and secured life.

KEY WORDS: Technical, Construction industry.

1. INTRODUCTION

In modern construction industry have been increased its efficiency by its technical development in the case of interior design even in the wiring line to electrical power, telephones, TV cable, etc., when we discuss about the home appliances washing machine, refrigerators, bath tubs, water heaters, stove, these all reduce the level of labor consumption. Like this there are several development in the field of construction we will discuss about the technical based development in modern construction industry.

Greenhouse: Green Buildings are high performance structures that also meet certain standards for reducing natural resource consumption.

Characterized:

- Efficient management of energy and water resources
- Management of material resources and waste
- Restoration and protection of environmental quality
- Enhancement and protection of health and indoor environmental quality
- Reinforcement of natural systems
- Analysis of the life cycle costs and benefits of materials and methods
- Integration of the design decision-making process

2. METHODS & MATERIALS

Materials: The materials used in this project are Porotherm bricks, *Moringa oleifera*, Ground source heat pumps, Double glazed windows and Nano solar panel.

Specification:

Earthwork excavation: Before the earth work is started the whole area where the work is to be done .Shall be cleared of grass, roots of trees. The excavation shall be carried out exactly as per drawing dimensions. No materials excavated from foundation trenches shall be placed nearer than one meter to the outer edges of the excavation. The water in trenches must be bailed or pumped out and where it is apprehended that the sides may fell down arrangement shall be made for adequate timber shoring.

Sand filling: Sand is used for filling shall free from duet, organic and forge in matter. Sand shall be spread uniformly to a layer not exceeding 200mm to the entire filling area. The sand shall be thoroughly saturated in water.

Cement concrete: The mix of the concrete is 1:1/2:3, it shall be laid to a depth of 150mm and should be vibrated by using vibrators and compaction will be done before initial setting time.

Reinforcement: Mild steel bars shall conform to the IS specification, free from loose rust, dust loose mill scales, coats of paints, oil or other coatings which may destroy or reduce bond. It shall be stored in such a way so as to avoid distortion and to prevent corrosion.

Walls: Provide sound shaping devices as required for proper acoustics in the Auditorium. In the Dressing Rooms, Control Booth and Lobby, extend all walls to the deck above.

Materials: Brick shall be porotherm brick of statement specification, regular in shape and size with sharp. Brick shall have an average compressive strength of not less than 100 kg per sq. cm and not more than 125 kg per sq.cm.

Mortar: The brick work shall be done with the specified mortar mixing the ingredients in the specified proportion.

Damp proof course: D.P.C of cement concrete should have a mix of 1:2:4 or 1:1½:3 usual thickness 2.5 cm to 4cm.

Lighting: LED lighting where used which is connected to the window contact sensor and occupancy sensor.

Windows: Windows of sizes 0.9m * 1.2m and 1.5 m*1.5m are provided at places of building required.

Doors: Doors of composite materials where used of size 1.2m*2.1m.

Planning aspects: The building is planned to be constructed as normal residential building where greenhouse concept is introduced in it for the welfare of the future in terms of water resources, electricity, etc... by using availability of enough resources of our earth. The plan is of 25m²plot area where the nomenclature of our building norms in urban area was selected and according to it the plan was made. The entrance is of east facing and all the vastu was verified and plan was executed for the plot area.

www.jchps.com

Footing design – limit state method:

Load from column	=100 KN
Column size	= 150 x 150mm
Safe bearing capacity	=220 KN
Fck	= 20 N/mm ²
Fy	= 415 N/mm ²
Self-Weight	=10% of load = 10 KN
Self-weight	= 10 KN
Total load	= 100 + 10 = 110 KN
Net upward pressure	= 80 KN/m ²

Bending moment:

M _u	=Load x Distance
D	=370 – 150 = 220mm
M	=Stress x Area
M	= 62.5 KNm
M _u	=62.5 KNm
M	= Qbd ²
62.5 x 10 ⁶	= 2.76 x 370 x d ²
d	= 247.44 say 250 mm

Assume effective cover = 50mm

$$D = d + \text{eff. Cover} = 300$$

$$D = 310\text{mm}$$

For safe against shear the overall depth = 2 x

$$D = 600\text{mm}$$

$$D = 600 - 50$$

$$D = 550\text{mm}$$

To find MU limit:

$$M_{u\text{limit}} = Qbd^2 = 2.76 \times 370 \times 550^2$$

$$M_{u\text{limit}} = 0.308 \times 10^9 \text{ N/mm}$$

$$M_{u\text{limit}} = 308.9 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_u < M_{u\text{limit}}$$

So, it's under reinforced

$$M_u = 0.87 \times f_y A_{st}$$

$$62.5 \times 10^6 = 0.87 \times 415 A_{st}$$

$$A_{st} = 173.1\text{mm}^2$$

Assume, 20mm

$$A_{st} = 314.159\text{mm}^2$$

$$\text{No. of rods} = 7.41 \approx 8 \text{ nos}$$

$$\text{No. of rod} = 8 \text{ nos of each direction}$$

Result:

Size of column = 150 x 150mm

Size of footing = 2.5 x 2.5m

To provide 8 nos of 20mm rod for each direction @ 300mm c/c.

Lateral ties:

Assume 6mm dia

$$\frac{1}{4} \times d = \frac{1}{4} \times 20 = 5\text{mm}$$

So we take 6mm ϕ ties**Pitch:**

$$\text{LLD} = 150\text{mm}$$

$$16xd = 16 \times 20 = 320\text{mm}$$

$$300\text{mm}$$

6mm ϕ ties @ 300mm c/c.

www.jchps.com

Result:

Size of column = 150 x 150mm

Size of footing = 2.5 x 2.5m

To provide 8 nos of 20mm rod for each direction @ 300mm c/c.

Column Design – Limit State Method:

Load from beam = 13 KN/m

Clear length = 3.4m

Fck = 20 N/mm²Fy = 415 N/mm²

Load = 44.2KN ~ 45KN

Load = 45 x 1.5 = 67.5 ~ 70

Design load = 70KN

AC = Ag – Asc

Asc = 0.2% of Ag

AC = Ag – 0.02 Ag = 0.98 Ag

AC = 0.98 Ag

Pu = 0.4 fck AC + 0.67 fyAsc

1300 x 10³ = 0.4 x 20 x 0.98 Ag + (0.67 x 415 x 0.02 Ag)Ag = 97.01 x 10³ mm²

a = 141.46mm ≈ 150mm

Ag = 150 x 150 = 22.5 x 10³ mm²AC = 22.5 x 10³ – AscPu = 70 x 10³ = 0.4 x 20 x (22.5 x 10³ – Asc) + 0.67 x 415 x AscAsc = 236.97mm²

Assuming 20mm rod

A = 314.2mm²

No. of rods = 3.74 ≈ 4 nos

Asc (used) = 4 x 314.2 = 1256.8mm²

% of steel = 1.02%

Min% of steel = 0.8% < 1.02%

Max % of steel = 4% > 1.02%

So it's safe

Beam Design - Limit State Method:

Clear span = 3m

Wall thickness = 230mm

Fck = 20 N/mm²Fy = 415 /mm²

Effective depth = span/20

d = 150mm

D = 200mm

b = 150mm

Effective span = clear span + effective depth = 3.15m

Table.1. Specifications

Lateral Ties	Pitch	Main Rod
Assume 6mm dia $\frac{1}{4} \times d = \frac{1}{4} \times 20 = 5\text{mm}$ So we take 6mm ϕ ties	LLD = 150mm $16 \times d = 16 \times 20 = 320\text{mm}$ 300mm 6mm ϕ ties @ 300mm c/c	To provide 4 nos 20mm rod

Loads:

Dead Load	Imposed Load
Wall load = 3.75KN/m Self-weight of slab = 2.5 KN/m Self-weight of beam = 0.75 KN/m Total load = 7 KN/m Design Load = 1.5 x 7 = 10.5 KN/m	Live load = 2 KN/m Design load = 3 KN/m

www.jchps.com

To find moment:-

Middle of end span

- $M_1 = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$
- $M_1 = 87.24 \text{ KNm}$

Near Of End Support

- $M_2 = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$
- $M_2 = -72.274 \text{ KNm}$

Check For Depth:

- $M_{u_{limit}} = Q b d^2 = 2.76 \times b d^2$
- $87.24 \times 10^6 = 62.1 \times 10^6$
- $87.24 \times 10^6 > 62.1 \times 10^6$
- So, it's safe for depth
- **Ast:-**
- $M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st}$

IST Moment:

- $A_{st1} = 478.79 \text{ mm}^2$

IIND Moment:

- $A_{st2} = 308.83 \text{ mm}^2$
- Assume 20mm dia
- $A_{st} = 315.20 \text{ mm}^2$
- No. of rod = 7.49 ~ 8 nos
- Provide 8 nos of 20mm rod
- No. of rod = 4.9 ~ 5 nos
- Provide 5 nos of 20mm ϕ rods

3. RESULT

Middle of end span

- $M_1 = 87.24 \text{ KNm}$
- $A_{st1} = 478.79 \text{ mm}^2$
- Provide 8 nos of 20mm rods

ii) Near of next to end support

- $M_2 = (-) 65 \text{ KNm}$
- $A_{st2} = 308.78 \text{ mm}^2$
- Provide 5 nos of 20mm rods.

Design of One Way Continuous Slab

Side ratio:

- The side ratio of each panel = $42.88 / 8.4 = 5.17 > 2$
- The slab is designed as one way continuous slab.

Depth required for stiffness:

- C/c distances of support = 3m
- Basic value of l/d ratio = 26
- Assume modification factor of 1.15
- Effective depth of required = $3000 / (26 * 1.15)$
- = 100mm
- Provide a clear cover of 15mm and 10mm dia as main reinforcement.
- $D = 100 + 15 + (10/2)$
- = 120mm
- $d = 100 \text{ mm}$

Load:

- Consider 1m width of slab
- Live load = $1 * 1 * 3 = 3 \text{ kN/m}$
- Dead load = $1 * 1 * 0.12 * 25 = 3 \text{ kN/m}$
- Weight of floor finish = 1 kN/m
- Total load = 7 kN/m
- Design load = 10.5 kN/m

www.jchps.com

Maximum B.M at support next to end support.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\max} &= -Wl^2/10 - Wl^2/9 \\ &= -10.69 \times 3^2/10 - 10.69 \times 3^2/9 \\ &= -9081 + 11.64 \\ &= -21.45 \text{ kN.m} \end{aligned}$$

Depth required for strength

$f_{ck} = m15$ and $f_y = fe415$

$$\text{Effective depth required } d = \sqrt{21.45 \times 10^6 / 2.07 \times 1000} = 101.8 \text{ mm} < 105 \text{ mm}$$

Hence ok

Design bending moment

B.M. near middle of end span

$$M_1 = wl^2/12 + wl^2/10 = 17.30 \text{ KNm}$$

B.M. at middle of end span

$$M_2 = wl^2/16 + wl^2/12 = 14.98 \text{ KNm}$$

B.M. at support next to end support

$$M_3 = -21.45 \text{ KNm}$$

B.M. at interior support

$$M_4 = wl^2/12 + wl^2/9 = -19.98 \text{ KNm}$$

BM at end support with partially fixity

$$M_5 = -8.15 \text{ KNm}$$

Main Reinforcement – Area of Steel

Area of Steel Required At Section 1:

$$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$$

$$A_{st1} = 530.61 \text{ mm}^2$$

Area Of Steel Required At Section 2:

$$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$$

$$A_{st2} = 448 \text{ mm}^2$$

Area Of Steel Required At Section 3:

$$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$$

$$A_{st3} = 692.2 \text{ mm}^2$$

Area Of Steel Required At Section 4:

$$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$$

$$A_{st4} = 230 \text{ mm}^2$$

Spacing of main bars:

Spacing Of 10mm Dia Bars

$$S_1 = 145 \text{ mm}$$

$$S_2 = 175 \text{ mm}$$

$$S_3 = 110 \text{ mm}$$

$$S_4 = 120 \text{ mm}$$

$$S_5 = 340 \text{ mm}$$

Distribution:

$$\text{Area steel required} = 150 \text{ mm}^2$$

Assume 8mm dia bars as distribution

$$\text{Spacing} = 50 \times 1000 / 120 = 330 \text{ mm}$$

Maximum permitted spacing = least of 5d and 450mm = 525 and 450mm

Provide 8mm dia Fe415 distributors at 330 mm c/c

Check for shear:

$$V_u = 39770 \text{ N}$$

$$\text{Nominal shear stress} = 0.678 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$0.378 < 0.511 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Hence slab is safe.

Check for stiffness:

$$\text{Area to be provided} = 692.2 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$\text{Area of steel required} = 714 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$F_s = 233.35 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$M_F = 99.36 \text{ mm}$$

$$105 > 99.36 \text{ mm}$$

www.jchps.com

Hence Safe

Design of Two Way Slab

- $L_y/L_x=1.28 < 2$

Since it is two way slab

Depth of slab

- Depth = span /25 =140mm
- $d=140\text{mm}$
- $D=165\text{mm}$
- Effective span:
- Effective span = clear span + effective depth =3.64mm

Load:

- Self-weight = 4.125KN/m²
- Live load = 4KN/m²
- Floor finish =0.6 KN.m²
- Total = 8.725 KN/m²
- Ultimate load = 13.08KN/m²
- Check for depth:
- $\mu (\text{max}) =0.138fckbd^2$
- $d=69.53\text{mm} < 140\text{mm}$

Hence sufficient.

Reinforcement:

- $\mu =0.87f_yA_{st} (d-f_yA_{st}/Fckbd^2)$
- $A_{st}= 980\text{mm}^2$
- Adopt 10mm dia bar at 250mm c/c
- $A_{st}=213\text{mm}^2$

Check for shear stress:

- $\tau_v = V_u/bd = 0.17\text{N/mm}^2$
- $P_t = 100A_{st}/bd = 0.225$
- Refer table from IS456
- $k\tau_c = 0.39\text{N/mm}^2 > \tau_v$

Check for deflection:

- $l/d = 20$
- $l/d(\text{max}) = 32$
- $l/d(\text{actual}) = 26 < 32$

Deflection checked.

Reinforcement at edge stirrups:

- $A_{st} = 0.12\% = 198\text{mm}^2 / \text{m}$
- Provide 10mm dia bars at 300mm c/c.
- $A_{st} = 262\text{mm}^2$

Design of staircase:

- $R=160\text{mm}$
- $T=300\text{mm}$
- Floor height=3.2
- No.of rise=20
- No of each flight=10
- No of treats=9
- Going length=2700
- Width of landing slab=1150mm
- Width of flight=1150mm

Effective span:

- Overall length=5200mm
- Provide (D)=265mm
- $D=240\text{mm}$

Load calculation:

- load on going:

April - June 2016

www.jchps.com

- $w_n = 25 \times 0.265 = 6.625 \text{KN/m}$
- $w_s = w_n / \sqrt{t^2 + R^2}$
- $= 7.5 \text{KN/m}$
- Dead load on steps $= 2 \text{KN/m}$
- Finishes $= 0.5 \text{KN/m}$
- Live load $= 3 \text{KN/m}$
- Total load $= 13 \text{kN/m}$

Load on landing slab:

- Dead load of landing $= 6.625 \text{KN/m}$
- Floor finish load $= 0.5 \text{KN/m}$
- Live load $= 3 \text{KN/m}$
- Total load $= 10.125 \text{KN/m}$
- Finish load $= 15018 \text{KN/m}$

Bending moment & shear forces:

- $R_A + R_B = 91.06 \text{KN}$
- $V_u = R_A = R_B = 45.53 \text{KN}$
- $M_u = 63.16 \text{KNm}$
- $d = \sqrt{M_u / Q_b} = 151.2 < 240 \text{mm}$

Find Ast:

- $M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} (d - f_y A_{st} / F_{ck} b d^2)$
- $A_{st} = 781.73 \text{mm}^2$
 $S = 350 \text{mm}$
- $A_{st}(\text{pro}) = 897.59 \text{mm}^2$
- Provide $20 \text{mm} @ 350 \text{mm} / c$

Distribution of steel:

- $A_{st} = 318 \text{mm}^2$
- $S = 230 \text{mm}$
- $10 \text{mm} \text{dia} @ 230 \text{mm} / c$

Check for shear:

- $\tau_v = 0.19 \text{N/mm}^2$
- $P_t = 0.37$
- $\tau_c = 0.42 \text{N/mm}^2$
- $\tau_v < \tau_c$ normal shear

4. CONCLUSION

Usage of porotherm bricks made the construction so easy and strength and stability will be maintained. With the help of sensors there will be much security to the house. Waste water with low turbidity can recycle and used effectively with the use of natural coagulants. Dead load of the building will be low. Capital cost of the building will be the same as normal residential building but maintenance cost will be much low as compared to the normal residential building. After 5 years there will be about 85% in the maintenance cost of the building. Thus the plan of building is made using AutoCAD, analyzed using STAAD. Pro and designed manually for green building concept and home automation system with varying cross-sectional depths.

REFERENCE

Anbazhagan R, Prabhakar S, Vanangamudi S, Thamocharan C, Electromagnetic engine, Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 20 (3), 2014, 385-387.

Anbazhagan R, Satheesh B, Gopalakrishnan K, Mathematical modeling and simulation of modern cars in the role of stability analysis, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 6 (5), 2013, 4633-4641.

Andreoli F, Agronomia con cenni di genetica e metodologiasperimentale, Roma EditorialedegliAgricoltori, Italia, 1998.

Ayas S, Demirtas C, Deficit irrigation effects on cucumber (Cucumis sativus L. Maraton) yield in unheated greenhouse condition. J. Food Agric. Environ. 7 (3&4), 2009, 645- 649.

Barlow J, Bayer S & Curry R, Flexible homes, flexible care, inflexible attitudes? The role of telecare in supporting independence, HAS spring conference, Housing and support, 2003.

Beresford A, Stajano F, Location Privacy in Pervasive Computing, IEEE Pervasive Computing, van BERLO, A, Ethics in domotics. Gerontechnology, 2 (1), 2003, 46–55.

Brindha G, Krishnakumar T, Vijayalatha S, Emerging trends in tele-medicine in rural healthcare, International Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 7 (2), 2015, 8986-8991.

Brintha Rajakumari S, Nalini C, An efficient cost model for data storage with horizontal layout in the cloud, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 7, 2014,45-46.

Casas R, Marco A, Falco J, Artigas J.I, Abascal J, Ethically Aware Design of a Location System for People with Dementia. In: K. Miesenberger et al. (Eds.): ICCHP 2006, LNCS 4061, Springer-Verlag, 2006, 777–784.

Chen X, He Z, Keller J, Anderson D & Skubic M, Adaptive silhouette extraction in dynamic environments using fuzzy logic. In: Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, 2006, 236-243.

Gopalakrishnan K, Prem Jeya Kumar M, Sundeep Aanand J, Udayakumar R, Analysis of static and dynamic load on hydrostatic bearing with variable viscosity and pressure, Indian Journal of Science and Technology, 6 (6), 2013, 4783-4788.

Jeyanthi Rebecca L, Susithra G, Sharmila S, Das M.P, Isolation and screening of chitinase producing *Serratia marcescens* from soil, Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research,5 (2), 2013, 192-195.

Kerana Hanirex D, Kaliyamurthie K.P, An adaptive transaction reduction approach for mining frequent itemsets: A comparative study on dengue virus type1, International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences, 6 (2), 2015, B336-B340.

Sachithanantham P, Sa Nkaran S, Elavenil S, Experimental study on the effect of rise on shallow funicular concrete shells over square ground plan, International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 10 (20) , 2015, 41340-41345.

Sharmila S, Jeyanthi Rebecca L, Das M.P, Production of Biodiesel from *Chaetomorpha antennina* and *Gracilaria corticata*, Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 4 (11), 2012, 4870-4874.

Sharmila S, Jeyanthi Rebecca L, Naveen Chandran P, Kowsalya E, Dutta H, Ray S, Kripanand N.R, Extraction of biofuel from seaweed and analyse its engine performance, International Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, 7 (2), 8870-8875, 2015.

Thamotharan C, Prabhakar S, Vanangamudi S, Anbazhagan R, Coomarasamy C, Hydraulic rear drum brake system in two wheeler, Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 20 (12), 2014, 1826-1833.

Vanangamudi S, Prabhakar S, Thamotharan C, Anbazhagan R, Collision control system in cars, Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 20 (12), 2014, 1799-1809.

Vanangamudi S, Prabhakar S, Thamotharan C, Anbazhagan R, Drive shaft mechanism in motor cycle, Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 2 (12), 2014, 1810-1815.

Vanangamudi S, Prabhakar S, Thamotharan C, Anbazhagan R, Dual fuel hybrid bike Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 20 (12), 2014, 1819-1822.

Vanangamudi S, Prabhakar S, Thamotharan C, Anbazhagan R, Turbo charger in two wheeler engine, Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research, 20 (12), 2014, 1841-1847.